	1 2 3 4	JOSEPH E. ADDIEGO III (State Bar No. 169522) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111-6533 Telephone: (415) 276-6500 Facsimile: (415) 276-6599 joeaddiego@dwt.com)			
	5	LEIV BLAD (State Bar No. 151353) CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP		•		
	6	2001 K Street NW Washington, D.C. 20006				
	7	Telephone: (202) 912-5000 Facsimile: (202) 912-6000				
	8	leiv.blad@cliffordchance.com				
	9 10	Attorneys for Defendants BNP PARIBAS, BNP PARIBAS SECURITIES (ASIA) LIMITED				
<u> </u>	11					
	12					
	13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
TREMAINE LLP	14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
TR	15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
HI	16	THOMAS WEISEL PARTNERS LLC, a) Case No. CV-07-0	6198 MPH		
DAVIS WRIGHT	17	Delaware limited liability company, and THOMAS WEISEL INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LIMITED, an Indian company,) Action Filed: Dece	ember 6, 2007		
IS \	18	ria ville bivilleb, an indian company,		AND [PROPOSED]		
)AV	19	Plaintiffs,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO AUGUST 6, 2008 FOR THE BNP			
	20	v.		NDANTS TO FILE		
	21	BNP PARIBAS, a French corporation, BNP		ONS TO DISMISS		
	22 23	PARIBAS SECURITIES (ASIA) LIMITED, a Hong Kong company, and PRAVEEN CHAKRAVARTY, an individual,	(Civil L. R. 6-2)			
	24	CHARRAVART 1, an individual,	Hearing Date: Time:	August 18, 2008 2:00 p.m.		
	25	Defendants.	Place:	Courtroom 15, 18th Fl.		
	26		,)	Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel		
	27)			
	28					
	~~					

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

WHEREAS, on March 7, 2008, Defendants BNP Paribas and BNP Paribas Securities (Asia) Limited (collectively "the BNP Paribas Defendants") filed their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Thomas Weisel Partners LLC's and Thomas Weisel International Private Limited's (collectively "TWP") First Amended Complaint for lack of personal jurisdiction and forum non conveniens. The hearing on the BNP Paribas Defendants' Motion originally was noticed for April 28, 2008.

WHEREAS, on March 20, 2008, the BNP Paribas Defendants and TWP entered into a Stipulation re Jurisdictional Discovery and Vacating Hearing Date (Docket Entry No. 26), pursuant to which the hearing date on the BNP Paribas Defendants' Motion was postponed to allow the parties time to conduct discovery on issues raised by said Motion. This Stipulation also provided that the BNP Paribas Defendants' Motion be heard no later than July 28, 2008. The Court entered its Order approving this Stipulation on March 26, 2008 (Docket Entry No. 29).

WHEREAS, on April 24, 2008, Defendant Praveen Chakravarty ("Chakravarty") filed his Motion to Dismiss TWP's First Amended Complaint. Thereafter, Chakravarty noticed the hearing on his motion for July 28, 2008 (Docket Entry No. 37).

WHEREAS, on June 18, 2008, the parties' Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Consolidated Opposition to Defendants' Jurisdictional Motions and Request for Additional Pages was filed, setting forth the parties' agreement that TWP file one consolidated opposition to the two separate pending Motions to Dismiss of no more than 40 pages in length (Docket Entry No. 42). On June 23, 2008, the Court entered its Order granting TWP said relief (Docket Entry No. 44).

WHEREAS, in light of the Court's unavailability on July 28, 2008 to conduct the hearing, the parties thereafter filed on June 27, 2008 a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Rescheduling Hearings and Setting Schedule for Remaining Briefing on Pending Motions to Dismiss (Docket Entry No. 45), and the Court issued said Order on July 3, 2008 (Docket Entry No. 46), thus continuing the hearings to August 18, 2008.

WHEREAS, pursuant to said Stipulation and Civil Local Rule 7-3(c), Defendants' Reply Briefs in support of their respective pending Motions to Dismiss must be filed by Monday, August 4, 2008.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, due to logistical difficulties attendant to the international nature of the instant dispute and the fact that the BNP Paribas Defendants both are located overseas, the BNP Paribas Defendants seek a short extension of the August 4, 2008 deadline, to Wednesday, August 6, 2008, to file their Reply Brief;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have no objection to the extension requested by the BNP Paribas Defendants, and Plaintiffs' non-objection to this request is conditioned on the maintainance of the August 18, 2008 hearing date;

WHEREAS, the parties submit the instant Stipulation and Proposed Order in order to obtain relief for the BNP Paribas Defendants from the current August 4, 2008 Reply Brief filing deadline set by the Court in its aforesaid July 3, 2008 Order, and to extend said filing deadline to Wednesday, August 6, 2008.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE as follows:

- The BNP Paribas Defendants shall file their reply papers in support of their Motion to Dismiss on or before Wednesday, August 6, 2008.
- 2. The hearing on the BNP Paribas Defendants', as well as Mr. Chakrayarty's, Motions to Dismiss TWP's First Amended Complaint shall remain on calendar for August 18, 2008 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 15 of the above-captioned Court before the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel.

IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED:

Dated: August 1, 2008

GILBERT R. SEROTA MARK A. SHEFT

MICHAEL L. GALLO

HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY

FALK & RABKIN

A Professional Corporation

By:

GILBERT R. SEROTA

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

THOMAS WEISEL PARTNERS LLC and THOMAS WEISEL INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LIMITED

	C	Case 3:07-cv-06198-MHP	Document 61	Filed 08/01/2008	Page 4 of 5
WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dated: August 1, 2008 Dated: August 1, 2008		TRACY THOMPSON MICHAEL E. WILBU COOK ROOS WILBU	EMAINE LLP fendants BNP PARIBAS AS SECURITIES (ASIA)
EMAIN	13 14			By: TRACY NIOM	
DAVIS WRIGHT TRI	15			Attorneys for Det PRAVEEN CHA	fendant KRAVARTY
	16 17 18 19 20	PURSUANT TO STIF		SO ORDERED.	
	21	2 a.va. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			
	22 23 24			The Honorable Marily United States District	
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR FOR Case No. CV-07-06198 MHP	4 LING OF REPLY BRIEF	The second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the second section of the sect	

		Case 3:07-cv-06198-MHP	Document 61	Filed 08/01/2008	Page 5 of 5
	1				
	2				
	3			IOCEDII E A DDIE	200 HI
	4	Dated: August 1, 2008		JOSEPH E. ADDIE DAVIS WRIGHT	
	5				
	6			By: Joseph E. Add	/s/ diego III
	7			Attorneys for 1	Defendants BNP PARIBAS
	8			and BNP PAR LIMITED	RIBAS SECURITIES (ASIA)
	9				
	10	Dated: August 1, 2008		TRACY THOMPSOMICHAEL E. WIL	
S WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	11			COOK ROOS WIL	
	12		·	Ву:	
	13			TRACY THO	DMPSON
	14			Attorneys for DDAVEEN C	Defendant HAKRAVARTY
	15	<u></u>		TRAVEENCE	IARRAVARTI
	16	PURSUANT TO STII	PH ATION IT IS	SO ORDERED	
	17	TONSOTHVI TO STE		ordende.	
	18				
DAVIS W	19	Dated: August, 2	008		
Q	20	Dutod. Nagust, 2	000		
	21			***************************************	
	22			The Honorable Ma United States Distr	
	23				-
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
				1	
		STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR I Case No. CV-07-06198 MHP	FILING OF REPLY BRIEF		